```
K3GKBRI1
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              19 CR 521 (PKC)
                 V.
     PETER BRIGHT,
5
6
                     Defendant.
                                              Trial
 7
            -----x
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              March 16, 2020
9
                                               10:55 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                           HON. P. KEVIN CASTEL,
12
                                               District Judge
13
                                               -and a Jury-
14
                                APPEARANCES
15
     GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
           United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
16
     BY: MICHAEL D. MAIMIN
17
           ALEXANDER LI
          Assistant United States Attorneys
18
     DAVID E. PATTON
          Federal Defenders of New York, Inc.
19
           Attorney for Defendant
20
     BY: AMY GALLICCHIO
           ZAWADI S. BAHARANYI
21
          Assistant Federal Defenders
22
     Also Present:
     Elizabeth Jensen, FBI
23
     Ariella Fetman, Government Paralegal
     Alondra Reyes, Defense Paralegal
24
25
```

25

1 (In open court; jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Good morning. 3 COUNSEL: Good morning. 4 THE COURT: I thought it would be a good idea to move 5 us to this larger courtroom, with a much larger jury room, 6 which will make things more comfortable for our jurors. 7 We have 11 jurors with us. There was a phone message left on the chambers' voicemail from Juror No. 3, who is the 8 9 foreperson. He sought medical attention because he was feeling 10 unwell, left his phone number, by the way, and I spoke to him 11 this morning. He sounded good on the phone but is unable to 12 come to court. He has not received any medical diagnosis but 13 has been tested for multiple conditions. One of the tests is 14 for COVID-19. He has promised me that he will call me when he 15 gets the results of that test so that I can tell my jurors. Rule 23(b)(3) provides as follows: After the jury has 16 17 retired to deliberate, the Court may permit a jury of 11 persons to return a verdict, even without a stipulation by the 18 parties, if the Court finds good cause to excuse the juror. 19 20 Here, I find that there is good cause to excuse the 21 juror because of the juror's medical condition, and propose to 22 tell the jury that they may continue their deliberation with 23 the selection of a new foreperson. 24 Any objection from the government?

MR. MAIMIN: No objection. I think that's more

```
efficient than pulling back an alternate at this point.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Any objection from the defendant?
 3
               MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, we need to speak with
 4
     Mr. Bright about this for a few minutes?
 5
               THE COURT: Sure.
               (Defendant and counsel confer)
 6
 7
               MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, thank you. We have
      consulted with Mr. Bright, and our first request would be that
8
9
      the Court call an alternate in. The jurors have only been
10
      deliberating, I think, for a short period of time, so I think
11
      it would be certainly reasonable and feasible for a juror to be
12
      substituted at this point.
13
               THE COURT: And what's your second request?
14
               MS. GALLICCHIO: Short of that, your Honor, we would
15
      object to proceeding with 11 and would --
               THE COURT:
16
                          Okay.
17
               MS. GALLICCHIO: -- move for a mistrial.
18
               THE COURT: And the basis for the objection?
              MS. GALLICCHIO: Well, after consultation with our
19
20
      client, we would prefer -- we would request to have a 12-person
21
      jury.
22
               THE COURT: No, I understand that, but I was inquiring
23
      whether there was a basis for the objection to the 11.
24
      all I was inquiring.
```

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes. Only that he's entitled to a

12-person juror, and that's what we request.

THE COURT: Okay, all right.

Rule 24 provides, at (c)(3), that the Court may retain alternate jurors after the jury retires to deliberate. The Court must ensure that a retained alternate does not discuss the case with anyone until that alternate replaces a juror or is discharged. If an alternate replaces a juror after deliberations have begun, the Court must instruct the jury begin its deliberations anew.

The reality is, under Rule 23(b)(3), the defendant is not entitled to have 12 jurors deliberate to a verdict — that's the law — if there is good cause to excuse a juror once deliberations have begun. The reason that permitting the jury to proceed under 23(b)(3) is superior to having them proceed under 24(c)(3) is as follows:

Number one, the jury would have to be sent home; they could not deliberate today.

Number two, the Court would have to inquire of the alternate juror or jurors -- I'd probably have to bring back multiple ones -- to ensure that the retained alternate has not discussed the case with anyone.

And number three, when replacing with the alternate juror, the Court must instruct the jury to begin deliberations anew.

I find that it is a poor alternative to the procedure

permitted under 12(b)(3), or a less optimal alternative, and that's why I proceed as I do.

If you'll kindly bring in our jurors.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This is what we, in the legal profession, call a change of venue.

It's good to see you, and I have arranged for us to be in this beautiful large courtroom, with a beautiful, large jury room. The reason for the move is, it's more spacious, and I thought you would be more comfortable in this more spacious surrounding.

This morning, I spoke to your foreperson, Juror No. 3. Wisely, when he was not feeling well, he went for medical attention. He sounded good on the phone when I spoke to him this morning but is unable to come to court. He has not received any diagnosis but has received multiple tests. One of the tests is for COVID-19. He has promised me that he will call me when he gets the results of that test so that I can tell you. We will tell you, whether you're still deliberating or you're home, you're done and you're home. Either which way, we're going to call you just so you know.

Now, when a juror is excused for good cause, the Court may allow the remaining 11 jurors to deliberate to verdict. I find that there is good cause to discharge Juror No. 3 because

25

of what he reported to me on the phone. So you may all 1 continue deliberating towards a unanimous verdict. 2 3 Because the juror who is excused was the foreperson, 4 it will be necessary for you to select a foreperson. 5 With that said, ladies and gentlemen, you may return 6 to the jury room. 7 Thank you. (Jury not present) 8 9 THE COURT: Anything further? 10 MR. MAIMIN: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 We are adjourned. 13 MR. MAIMIN: Thank you. 14 (Recess pending verdict) 15 THE COURT: I have a note from the jury, which reads -- it was received at 12:36 -- "We request to review 16 17 Government Exhibits 6 and 9 with associated transcripts, GX 6T and GX 9T. 18 "2. We request clarification on whether the FBI 19 20 examined the contents of the defendant's iPhone and personal computer 2A. If not, why not?" 21 22 It doesn't happened to be signed. Have you actually 23 seen the physical note?

MS. BAHARANYI: Your Honor, we have.

MR. LI: We have, your Honor.

24

25

1	THE COURT: Okay. That's wonderful. And it's marked
2	as Court Exhibit 7.
3	So, the government has 6 and 9 and the transcripts
4	keyed up?
5	MR. LI: Yes, your Honor, we're prepared to play
6	those.
7	Just as a point of clarification, for Government
8	Exhibit 6, there is one clip which is, essentially, the
9	entirety of the audio recording. It's about 50 minutes. We
10	did not play that for the jury, but we did include it in the
11	evidence. We have spoken with the defendant, and our
12	interpretation of the jury note is that they don't want that
13	50-minute clip played, they want the clips played that were
14	actually played in the course of the presentations.
15	THE COURT: Is that correct?
16	MS. BAHARANYI: That's correct, your Honor, that's our
17	understanding.
18	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. That's what we'll do.
19	What is the government's proposed response to question
20	2 and 2A?
21	MR. LI: Your Honor, our reading of the note is that
22	the jury is looking for the relevant portions of the transcript

MR. LI: Your Honor, our reading of the note is that the jury is looking for the relevant portions of the transcript to be read back. We are happy to prepare those in consultation with the defense.

THE COURT: And that has not been done yet?

MR. LI: It has not, your Honor, not yet.

THE COURT: All right. Well -- yes, go ahead.

MS. BAHARANYI: Your Honor, our understanding from the note — what we think the note probably calls for at this time is asking the jury to rely on the evidence that — their own recollection of the evidence that was actually put in at trial. If they have a follow-up question about specific parts of the transcript, we're happy to consult with the government about that, but, at this point, their question is ambiguous enough that I think we need some more clarification from them about what it is that they are looking for. If it is just a matter of, you know — it might be simply answered by telling them their recollection of the evidence is what controls.

THE COURT: Well, when they ask for clarification, we, as lawyers, might give it a slightly different meaning than a juror would, but they say, "We request clarification on whether the FBI examined the contents of the defendant's iPhone and personal computer." I read that to mean: We want to know whether the FBI examined the contents of the defendant's iPhone and personal computer; if not, why not? Well, I think we can all agree that "if not, why not?" doesn't get answered unless there was testimony about it.

MS. BAHARANYI: Right.

THE COURT: And whether it was examined or not could be answered in a note, but is best answered, in my view, by the

reading of the transcript.

MS. BAHARANYI: Your Honor, and I think we agree, that if it is the transcript that they need then we can consult with the government about that. It's just not clear, especially for 2A, that at this time they're looking for a reading -- at this time, I think there's some uncertainty about what it is they're asking for.

THE COURT: Listen to me. What I'm saying is, 2A can be answered other than through the words of the transcript. So you can answer the question whether the FBI examined the content of the defendant's iPhone and personal computer. The problem with answering it is that the answer — and correct me if I am wrong — some they did, some they didn't, one iPhone they did, another iPhone they didn't. I think that may even be true as to one of the hard drives, the homemade computer they didn't. So we could craft an answer that captures all of that, but we could also be led into a debate about the meaning of the words. I invite you right now to craft the answer. Go ahead, craft it.

MS. BAHARANYI: No, we're not asking to craft the answer, your Honor.

THE COURT: No, well, I'm asking you to craft the answer because the question, as I read it -- "We request clarification on whether the FBI examined the contents of the defendant's iPhone and personal computer," this is my

```
construction of the note -- I take to mean: Did the FBI
1
      examine the contents of defendant's iPhone and personal
 2
 3
      computer? And, if not, why not? And what I'm saying to you
 4
      is, one way to answer that is by composing words. I'm open to
5
      that. Another way to answer that is to give them the
6
      transcript, so then there is no debate over what words we tell
 7
      them.
               MS. BAHARANYI: We would prefer the transcript, your
8
9
      Honor.
10
               THE COURT: That sounds great. I gather the
11
      government does as well.
12
               So let's see whether we can get that under way. You
13
      all have your transcripts, I assume?
14
               MR. LI: Yes, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
               In the meantime, why don't I bring the jury in for
16
17
      number 1. Is that acceptable?
18
               MS. BAHARANYI: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
19
               THE COURT: Okay.
20
               (Jury present)
21
               THE COURT: Please be seated.
22
               Ladies and gentlemen, we have your note. Will the
23
      foreperson please identify themselves?
24
               All right. And you are Juror No.?
25
               THE FOREPERSON: 5.
```

THE COURT: 5?

So, just sign your notes, and that will be fine, but we have it, and the first question is, "We request to review Government Exhibits 6 and 9 with associated transcripts, GX 6T and GX 9T," which we'll do right now in court. And we take that to mean the portions that were played in open court. If you intended something else, you'll let us know.

With regard to the second question, "We request clarification on whether the FBI examined the contents of the defendant's iPhone and personal computer," which I take to mean: Did the FBI examine the contents of defendant's iPhone and personal computer? If I'm wrong about how I'm reading it, you'll let me know in another note.

And then 2A is, "If not, why not?" We are working on the response to 2 and 2A, but we'll proceed with the first inquiry.

So, whenever the government is ready, you can play it.

(Audio playback)

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen. That completes playing the tape.

MR. LI: Your Honor, there's a second video, Government Exhibit 9.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

(Audio playback)

THE COURT: Does that complete the exhibit?

1	MR. LI: It does, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	Ladies and gentlemen, we'll work on 2 and 2A. You may
4	return to the jury room to complete your deliberations. Thank
5	you.
6	(Recess pending verdict)
7	THE COURT: And you'll let us know when you're done.
8	MS. GALLICCHIO: I think we're ready. The government
9	proposed some excerpts to us, and we agree.
10	MR. LI: Oh, okay. That makes it easy.
11	THE COURT: What are the excerpts? Do you have them
12	there? My deputy can make a copy.
13	MR. LI: Your Honor, we'll pass it to your deputy.
14	THE COURT: Yes. Do you have the transcript there?
15	MR. LI: We have the actual transcript as well, your
16	Honor.
17	MR. MAIMIN: We do not have the hard copy for the very
18	last excerpt because I only printed out the transcript of
19	Tuesday. We can display it. I think you have a copy of the
20	hard copy I can run down and print those pages out as well.
21	THE DEPUTY CLERK: What date?
22	MR. MAIMIN: The last one, 400-something from Friday.
23	THE COURT: Do we have the transcript?
24	It's in the courtroom. Why don't you run down and get
25	the transcripts through Friday.

K3GKBRT2 1 THE LAW CLERK: Sure. THE COURT: Are there any incomplete pages? 2 3 MR. MAIMIN: Some of them will be portions of pages, 4 but we set forth what lines to start and end on, on those. 5 THE COURT: All right. Well, maybe somebody has a marker, black marker, and we can --6 7 MR. LI: Yes, we'll mark that up, your Honor. MR. MAIMIN: Is your Honor going to give it to the 8 9 jury? 10 THE COURT: That's what I propose to do. 11 MR. MAIMIN: Oh, okay. 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 MR. MAIMIN: If your Honor wants do that, I can print 14 out a redacted version of exactly that. It will take me five 15 minutes. THE COURT: Why don't you do that. 16 17 MR. MAIMIN: I'll be back in five minutes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 All right. We are in recess. Thank you. 20

(Recess)

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I have received Court Exhibit 8, which has excerpts from pages 84, 113, 192, 193, 196, 253, 254, 479 of the transcript.

Has each side reviewed Court Exhibit 8?

MR. LI: Yes, your Honor.

```
1
               MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Any objection?
 2
 3
               MR. LI: No objection, your Honor.
 4
               MS. GALLICCHIO: No objection.
 5
               THE COURT: All right.
 6
               Court Exhibit 8 can be given to the deputy marshal to
 7
     be handed to the jurors, Madam Deputy.
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. All of them?
8
9
               THE COURT: Yes.
               All right. We are adjourned. Thank you, all, very
10
11
     much.
12
               MR. MAIMIN: Thank you, your Honor.
13
               (Recess pending verdict)
14
               THE COURT: I have a note, signed by Juror No. 5, at
15
      4:10 p.m. It's been marked as Court Exhibit 9, and it reads:
16
      "We have reached a verdict."
17
               I also have an envelope from the jury, which purports
     to be the verdict.
18
               Please bring our jurors in. Please stand for the
19
20
      jury.
21
               (Jury present)
22
               THE COURT: Please be seated.
23
               Madam Foreperson, I understand the jury has reached a
24
     verdict?
25
               THE FOREPERSON: We have.
```

1	THE COURT: And it's in this envelope.
2	THE FOREPERSON: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: And is it unanimous?
4	THE FOREPERSON: Yes, it is.
5	THE COURT: Is it signed and dated by you as
6	foreperson?
7	THE FOREPERSON: Not very legibly, but yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	I'm going to direct the deputy to mark it as Court
10	Exhibit 10, and return it to the foreperson, and take the
11	verdict, if you will, please.
12	Madam Foreperson, if you would please stand.
13	THE DEPUTY CLERK: As to Count One, how do you find
14	the defendant? Guilty or not guilty?
15	THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.
16	THE COURT: All right. Please retrieve the verdict
17	sheet.
18	And, Madam Deputy, if you would kindly poll the jury.
19	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 1, is that your verdict?
20	JUROR: Yes.
21	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 2, is that your verdict?
22	JUROR: Yes.
23	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 4, is that your verdict?
24	JUROR: Yes.
25	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 5, is that your verdict?

1	JUROR: Yes.
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 6, is that your verdict?
3	JUROR: Yes.
4	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 7, is that your verdict?
5	JUROR: Yes.
6	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 8, is that your verdict?
7	JUROR: Yes.
8	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 9, is that your verdict?
9	JUROR: Yes.
10	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 10?
11	JUROR: Yes.
12	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 11?
13	JUROR: Yes.
14	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 12?
15	JUROR: Yes.
16	THE DEPUTY CLERK: The jury has been polled.
17	THE COURT: Any objection to my discharging the jury?
18	MR. LI: No, your Honor.
19	MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, what I'm about to
21	say to you is exactly what I would say to you even if your
22	verdict were very different than the one you have returned in
23	this case: I am in awe of you. I'm in awe of your service.
24	It's something that you can be proud of for the rest of your
25	days, because when you were summoned, you came and you served.
ļ	

You could have ducked out, you could have deferred, you could have said, I can't come during a national emergency, and it would have been understandable, but you didn't.

Not only you, but Juror No. 3, who's not here, and the six alternates, came every day of the trial, without complaint, on time, listened carefully to the evidence, not because you came here to do me a favor; you didn't come here to do the government a favor, nor did you come here to do the defendant a favor - you came here because this is one of the highest calls of American citizenship. It's one of the most direct ways that a citizen can participate in governance.

You did this, and, as the years go by, I hope you can look back and be very proud of this chapter in your life.

There was a judge who used to sit on this court -- his name was Edward Weinfeld -- and he had an unusual custom; he would not thank jurors for their service because he felt that cheapened what they had done, because it wasn't a favor to anyone.

I want you to think with me what it would be like if we had a system where men and women who wore black robes decided the credibility of the witnesses and the guilt or innocence of the defendant. I know, from my own experience, that these men and women would be very sincere and would do their honest best. And, doing their honest best, there would always be a question in someone's mind: Who appointed this person? Where did they come from? Are they looking for some

sort of a promotion? Who are they afraid of? Who are they trying to curry favor with? That would be a doubt that would linger in someone's mind.

But when jurors, from all walks of life, people who would never have met one another in their ordinary lives, come together and act as one in reaching a unanimous verdict, that's as close as we're going to get to a pure form of justice in this very infallible world that we live in. As I say, regrettably, we can't get 12 people to agree on a pizza topping, but you, as a jury, came to a unanimous verdict. So, I want to leave you with a couple of thoughts.

First of all -- and I said this to you once before,
I'm going to repeat it -- I don't want you ever to be mean to
anyone, but we're going to get past this national state of
emergency, and we'll be having barbecues and cookouts, maybe
it's not going to be the 4th of July, maybe it will be Labor
Day, I don't know, I don't have a crystal ball and neither does
anybody else, but it will happen. Good days are ahead. And
when those good days come and you run into somebody who you
care about -- a sister, a brother, a cousin, a neighbor -- and
they tell you how they plan to evade jury service or how they
did do it, don't be mean, but let them know that you don't find
that one bit funny because you know how important jury service
is, how difficult it is, how critical it is, to a civilized
society. Don't ever forget that message.

Secondly, if we should ever encounter one another in the future, I'll hope you do me the favor of reminding me where we first met.

Finally, I will tell you, as I told you at the beginning, when the case is over, you can discuss the case with anybody you like or with nobody, your call, totally free of all obligations in that regard. Some of my jurors have followed the following rule, which I commend for your consideration and for your good judgment: They're happy to talk about what went on in this courtroom or the courtroom downstairs, what the testimony was about, what the case about, what the lawyers did, what the judge did or didn't do — that's something they feel comfortable talking about — but when it comes to what goes on in the jury room between and among you as jurors, many jurors find that that is a space that they will not invade, they will keep that to themselves. You get to make that choice.

I will tell you something else, and I hesitate to call this good news but it is what it is: I've heard from Juror No. 3, your former foreperson, and he has tested positive for the stomach flu or stomach bug, which is known as norovirus. That's not COVID-19.

Now, I'm not a doctor, I'm not a doctor, I don't know whether you could have norovirus and also COVID-19, but it at least preliminarily provides an explanation other than the COVID-19 for why he wasn't feeling well, and I wanted to share

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that with you. He called in to tell me that.

And if we get further news from him, as I expect we will, we'll call you, just to close the loop on that. And so that's the other piece of news.

So, with all of that said, ladies and gentlemen, we here in this courtroom are going to stand one last time out of respect for you, the judges of the fact.

All rise. The jury may exit.

(Jury discharged)

THE COURT: If there are any postverdict applications, please first consult the rules, and if there are any requests for an adjournment, I'm happy to consider it, but first consult and cite the rules so that I know I'm granting you a lawful adjournment, if that's what you want.

I'll ask my deputy to give us a date for sentencing, which is out.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: June 29th?

THE COURT: That sounds fine.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: June 29th at 11:30.

MR. LI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that workable?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

Anything further from the government?

MR. LI: No, your Honor.

```
K3GKBRI3
               THE COURT: Anything further from the defendant?
1
 2
               MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: All right.
               With the thanks of the Court, this trial stands
 4
 5
      adjourned sine die.
                (Adjourned)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```